

Uniformly bounded components of normality

Xiaoling WANG^{*†} and Wang ZHOU^{‡§}

Abstract

Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function and that the Fatou set $F(f) \neq \emptyset$. Set

$$B_1(f) := \sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)}$$

and

$$B_2(f) := \sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log \log(|w| + 3)},$$

where the supremum $\sup_U$ is taken over all components of $F(f)$. If $B_1(f) < \infty$ or $B_2(f) < \infty$, then we say $F(f)$ is strongly uniformly bounded or uniformly bounded respectively. In this article, we will show that, under some conditions, $F(f)$ is (strongly) uniformly bounded.

Keywords: Bounded Fatou components, (multiply) connected component, (strongly) uniformly bounded

AMS(2000) Subject classifications: Primary 37F10; Secondary 37F45

1 Introduction

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, and denote by f^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n th iterate of f . The set of normality, which is the so called Fatou set $F(f)$, is defined to be the set of points, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that the sequence $\{f^n\}$ is normal in some neighborhood of z . The Fatou set $F(f)$ has good topological and analytical properties. For example, $F(f)$ is open, and may contain 0, 1 or ∞ Fatou components. If $F(f)$ contains only one Fatou component D , then D is invariant under f and D is unbounded. Another well-known set related to f is the Julia set, $J = J(f) = \mathbb{C} - F(f)$. $J(f)$ is a nonempty perfect set which coincides with $\mathbb{C}$, or is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{C}$. For the basic results in the dynamical theory of transcendental functions, we refer the reader to the books [12, 16] and the survey paper [8], and the papers of Fatou [10] and Julia [14] for more about the iteration theory of transcendental entire functions.

Throughout the paper, we shall use the following standard notation:

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, f) &= \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}, \\ m(r, f) &= \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}, \\ \lambda = \lambda(f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}, \\ \rho = \rho(f) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

^{*}Nanjing University of Finance and Economics

[†]This Project was granted financial support from Postdoctoral Foundation of Shantou University

[‡]National University of Singapore

[§]Supported in part by grants R-155-000-035-112 and R-155-050-055-133/101 at National University of Singapore

We call these maximum modulus, minimum modulus, order of f and lower order of f , respectively. If $E \subset [1, \infty)$, the upper logarithmic density of the set E is defined by

$$\overline{\log dens} E = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log R} \int_{E \cap (1, R)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, then $f(z)$ has the following form $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$. We say that $f(z)$ has **Fabry gaps** if

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{j_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{j_k}{k} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function. If f satisfies the following condition: there exist two positive constants ε_1 and ε_2 with $0 < \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 < 1$ such that

$$\overline{\log dens} E(f) \leq \varepsilon_1 \tag{1}$$

where

$$E(f) = \{r > 1 : \log m(r, f) \leq \varepsilon_2 \log M(r, f)\}, \tag{2}$$

then **we call** $f \in \Delta$.

Δ contains many classes of transcendental entire functions of any order; see examples 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the next section.

In the complex dynamical system theory, it is interesting to investigate the boundedness of all Fatou components of a function f . There is a vast extensive literature on the boundedness of Fatou components, for example see [1, 4, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26] for the Fatou sets of transcendental entire functions, [22, 23, 24, 26] for the case of transcendental meromorphic functions. In the above mentioned papers, the authors only considered whether or not all Fatou components of an entire or meromorphic transcendental function are bounded. We could say that those results are coarse in some sense, and can't describe the properties of Julia sets and Fatou sets perfectly. In this paper we will show that all Fatou components of a class of transcendental entire functions are not only bounded, but also proportioned normally, that is, all Fatou components have almost the same "size". As far as we know this is the first time to develop this property for transcendental entire functions.

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function. Throughout the rest of the paper, we assume that $F(f) \neq \emptyset$, and then $F(f)$ consists of one or countably many Fatou components. If $F(f)$ contains only one component U , then U must be unbounded and completely invariant under f . Furthermore, we need the following definition.

Definition 2. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function. Set

$$B_0(f) := \sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} (|z| + 1)}{\inf_{w \in U} (|w| + 1)},$$

$$B_1(f) := \sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)}$$

and

$$B_2(f) := \sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log \log(|w| + 3)},$$

where the supremum $\sup_U$ is taken over all components of $F(f)$.

If $B_1(f) < \infty$ or $B_2(f) < \infty$, then we say the Fatou set $F(f)$ is strongly uniformly bounded or uniformly bounded respectively.

Remark 1: In the above definition, the numbers 3 and 30 are chosen in order to make $\log \log$ and $\log$ larger than 1, They can be replaced by any other numbers greater than e and e^e respectively. For any transcendental entire function $f(z)$, if $F(f) = \emptyset$, then we put $B_1(f) = B_2(f) = 1$, otherwise $1 < B_1(f)$, $B_2(f) \leq \infty$. Also it is easy to see the following facts:

1. $B_1(f) = B_2(f) = \infty$ if $F(f)$ contains unbounded components;
2. all components of $F(f)$ are bounded if $F(f)$ is (strongly) uniformly bounded.

Remark 2: Strongly uniform boundedness implies uniform boundedness. But the converse is not true; see example 4 of section 2 below.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some examples of transcendental entire functions of any order that belong to Δ . In Section 3, we prove that the Fatou sets are uniformly bounded for functions whose Fatou sets $F(f)$ contain at least two different obits of multiply connected components or $\lambda(f^2) < \infty$. In Section 4, we show that the same result holds for functions $f \in \Delta$ satisfying

1. there exist positive constants $M_0, C_1 > 1, C_2 > 1$ such that

$$[M_0, \infty) \subset \{r > 0 : \log M(C_1 r, f) \geq C_2 \log M(r, f)\}.$$

2. or there exist positive constants $M_1, D_1 > 1$ and $D_2 > 1$ with $D_1 > D_2^2$ such that

$$[M_1, \infty) \subset \{r > 0 : \log M(D_1 r, f) < D_2 \log M(r, f)\}.$$

3. or $0 < \rho(f) \leq \lambda(f) < \infty$.

2 Examples

Example 1: ([11]) Let f be an entire function of finite order with Fabry gaps. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we have

$$\log m(r, f) > (1 - \varepsilon) \log M(r, f)$$

holds for all r outside a set of logarithmic density zero. Therefore, the functions of this class belong to Δ .

Example 2: ([7]) Let f be a transcendental entire function of order $\lambda(f) < 1/2$, and suppose that $\lambda(f) < a < 1/2$. Then if

$$E = \{r > 0 : \log m(r, f) \leq \cos(\pi a) \log M(r, f)\}$$

we have $\overline{\log dens} E \leq \lambda(f)/a$, and so $f \in \Delta$.

Example 3: Let f be a transcendental entire function of order $\lambda(f) < a < 1/2$, $n(> 0) \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer, and let $f_n(z) = f(z^n)$. If

$$E_n = \{r > 0 : \log m(r, f_n) \leq \cos(\pi a) \log M(r, f_n)\}.$$

Then $\overline{\log dens} E_n \leq \lambda(f)/a$, and so $f \in \Delta$.

Note that: $\lambda(f_n) = n\lambda(f)$ and $\rho(f_n) = n\rho(f)$.

Proof: It is easy to note that, for all $r > 0$

$$m(r, f_n) = m(r^n, f) \text{ and } M(r, f_n) = M(r^n, f). \quad (3)$$

Set

$$E = \{r > 0 : \log m(r, f) \leq \cos(\pi a) \log M(r, f)\}$$

Then

$$r^n \in E \text{ if and only if } r \in E_n.$$

Now by combining the above Example 2 and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\log dens} E_n &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{E_n \cap [1, r]} \frac{ds}{s} \quad (\text{let } t = s^n) \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \log r} \int_{E \cap [1, r^n]} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \overline{\log dens} E \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda(f)}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

♠

Example 4: Let $k_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$ denote any increasing sequence of positive integers, $r_1 > 2$ and $C > 0$ such that $0 < C < (4e^2)^{-1}$. Suppose that n_0 is a positive integer so that $2^{n_0-1}C > 2r_1^{k_1}$. The sequence of positive numbers $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is given inductively by the equation:

$$r_{n+1} = C \left(1 + \left(\frac{r_n}{r_1} \right)^{k_1} \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{r_n}{r_2} \right)^{k_2} \right) \cdots \left(1 + \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n} \right)^{k_n} \right). \quad (4)$$

Set

$$f(z) = C \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \left(\frac{z}{r_n} \right)^{k_n} \right).$$

This function has the following properties (see [6] and [12]):

1. $F(f)$ contains multiply connected wandering domains and thus every component of $F(f)$ is bounded;
2. for sufficiently large n , set $A_n = \{z : r_n^2 \leq |z| \leq r_{n+1}^{1/2}\}$. Then $f(A_n) \subset A_{n+1}$;
3. for a given nonnegative number $\lambda (0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty)$, $\lambda(f) = \lambda$ if we take $k_n = [r_n^\lambda]$, where $[a]$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a . It can be verified that

$$\log M(r, f) = O(r^\lambda) \text{ and } \log f(2r_n) > [r_n^\lambda] \log 2.$$

Claim 1: If $0 < \lambda < \infty$, then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof of Claim 1: Let U_n be the multiply connected Fatou components of $F(f)$ such that $A_n \subset U_n$, $Q_1 = \{z : |z| < r_1^{1/2}\}$, and $Q_n = \{z : r_n^{1/2} < |z| < r_{n+1}^2\}$ for $n \geq 2$. It is easy to check that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $r_{n+1} > 2r_n$ for $n \geq n_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_{n+1} > 2r_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. We note that the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq t$ ($r_n \leq t < r_{n+1}$) is $n(t) := k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n$, and there exists a positive constant $C(\lambda)$ so that $n(t) \leq C(\lambda)r_n^\lambda$ for sufficiently large n (see [12]). Also we see from (4) that

$$r_{n+1} \leq C r_n^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{n-1}} \text{ and } r_n \leq C r_{n-1}^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{n-2}}.$$

Then

$$r_{n+1} \leq C^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{n-1}+1} r_{n-1}^{(k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{n-1})^2}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\log \log r_{n+1}}{\log r_{n-1}} < 2\lambda + 1$$

for sufficiently large n .

Now let U be any Fatou component of $F(f)$. Then two cases should be considered.

Case 1: $U = U_n$ for some $n \geq 1$.

In this case, it is easy to check that

$$U = U_n \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_{n-1}^{1/2} \leq |z| \leq r_{n+1}^2\}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} \leq \frac{\log \log(r_{n+1}^2 + 30)}{\log(r_{n-1}^{1/2} + 3)} \leq \frac{6 \log \log r_{n+1}}{\log r_{n-1}} \leq 6(2\lambda + 1).$$

Case 2: $U \subset Q_n$ for some $n \geq 1$.

In this case, from the above argument, we also get that

$$\frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)} \leq 6(2\lambda + 1).$$

Therefore, Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2: $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded but not strongly uniformly bounded.

Proof of Claim 2: It can be shown that for any $m > 1$, there exists a positive integer n_m such that, for $n > n_m$, $r_{n+1} > r_n^m$. Then

$$\frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} \geq \frac{\log(r_{n+1}^{1/2} + 3)}{\log(r_n^2 + 3)} \geq \frac{1}{5} \frac{\log r_{n+1}}{\log r_n} \geq \frac{m}{5}.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)} = \infty.$$

Claim 2 also is proved.

Claim 3: If all k_n are odd then $f(z) \in \Delta$.

Proof of Claim 3: For any given $r > 0$, it is clear that $m(r, f) = |f(-r)|$ and $M(r, f) = f(r)$. Set $E_n = (4r_n, r_{n+1}/4)$ and $G_n = (r_n/4, 4r_n)$ for sufficiently large $n \geq 1$. Using a similar method as in the book [12], we can also prove that there exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M(r, f) = f(r) < 4|f(-r)| = 4m(r, f)$$

for any $n \geq N$ and for any $r \in E_n$. Choose a given number $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Set

$$G(f) = \{r > 0 : \log m(r, f) < (1 - \varepsilon) \log M(r, f)\}.$$

Then, from the above arguments, we have

$$G(f) \subset \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} G_n.$$

Finally we need to show $\overline{\log dens}G(f) < 1$. It is easy to see that, for n sufficiently large, $4r_n > 16^{2n}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\log dens}G(f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{G(f) \cap (1, r)} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log 4r_n} \int_{G(f) \cap (1, 4r_n)} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log(4r_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{r_i/4}^{4r_i} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n \log 16}{\log 4r_n} \right) \\
&\leq 1/2.
\end{aligned}$$

The above second inequality holds because $G(f)$ is open and $G(f) \cap (4r_n, r_{n+1}/4) = \emptyset$. ♠

3 The Case of f with Multiply Connected Components

First we recall some definitions. A set $\mathcal{X}$ of Fatou components of $F(f)$ is called an **orbit** if for any two components $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{X}$, there exist two positive integers m and n so that $f^m(U_1) = f^n(U_2)$. For each domain D , we will use $\#(D)$ to denote the connectivity of D . Next, we list some dynamical properties of transcendental entire functions with multiply connected components, and others can be found in the above mentioned books. It was I.N. Baker who proved the existence of multiply connected wandering domains for transcendental entire functions, see [2, 3, 5, 6].

Theorem A: ([4] or [12], Theorem 4.1, Page 58) *Let f be a transcendental entire function and D a multiply connected component of $F(f)$. Then*

1. *All the Fatou components of f are bounded.*
2. *$f^m(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of D .*
3. *For every Jordan curve γ which is non-contractible in D , $\text{index}(f^n(\gamma)) \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large n .*
4. *D must be wandering.*

Recently, in [15], Kisaka and Shishikura proved the following results.

Theorem B:

1. *If D is a multiply connected component of ∞ -connectivity, then $\#f^n(D) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$.*
2. *If $\infty > \#D \geq 2$, then there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\#f^m(D) = 2$ for all $m \geq n_0$.*
3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ there exist a transcendental entire function f with a wandering domain D with $\#D = n$ and $\#f^m(D) = 2$ for every $m \geq 1$.*
4. *There exists a transcendental entire function with infinitely many grand orbits of doubly connected wandering domains. That is, there exist doubly connected wandering domains D_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) such that if $i \neq j$, then $f^m(D_i) \cap f^n(D_j) = \emptyset$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, this f can be constructed so that the Lebesgue measure of the Julia set $J(f)$ is positive.*

Now we state our first result.

Theorem 1: *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function with $F(f)$ containing multiply connected components.*

1. If $\lambda(f^2) < \infty$, then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.
2. Suppose that $F(f)$ contains at least two different orbits $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ which consist of only multiply connected components, suppose further that $\lambda(f) < \infty$. Then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.
3. $B_0(f) = \infty$.

3.1 Proof of the Theorem 1

Since $F(f)$ has multiply connected components, we may assume that U is a multiply connected component of $F(f)$. Let $U_n (n \geq 0)$ be the Fatou components so that $f^n(U) \subset U_n$ and $U_0 = U$. There exists a simple closed curve γ in U which is not null-homotopic in U . Define $\gamma_n = f^n(\gamma)$. Since U is multiply connected, $f^n|_U \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By a theorem of Baker ([4] or [12]), $0 \in \text{int}(\gamma_n)$ for sufficiently large n . And by Lemma 7 of Bergweiler [8], there exist a constant $K > 1$ and a positive integer n_0 such that $|f^n(z_1)| \leq |f^n(z_2)|^K$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in \gamma$ and $n \geq n_0$. Let $r_n = \min\{|f^n(z)| : z \in \gamma\}$. Then $\gamma_n \subset \text{ann}(r_n, r_n^K)$ for $n \geq n_0$, where $\text{ann}(r, R)$ denotes the annulus around 0 with radii r and R .

Note also that the sequence $\{r_n\}$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty$ and

$$\min_{z \in \gamma_n} |f(z)| = r_{n+1} \geq (\max_{z \in \gamma_n} |f(z)|)^{1/K} \geq M(r_n, f)^{1/K} \quad (5)$$

for $n \geq n_0$.

Case 1: If $\lambda(f^2) < \infty$, we show that $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

It is easy to see that

$$U_n \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_{n-1} \leq |z| \leq r_{n+1}^K\} \quad (6)$$

and that we can assume U_n is doubly connected for $n \geq n_0$ by Theorem B. Now let Γ_n be the outer boundary of U_n for $n \geq n_0$. Then we know that in order to prove this case, we only need to consider the components E that lie outside of Γ_{n_0} , and such components can be separated into the following two classes:

Class 1: $E = U_n$ for some $n > n_0$;

Class 2: E lies between the outer boundaries of U_n and U_{n+1} for some $n \geq n_0$;

Claim 1: There exists a positive number D_5 so that

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} \frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} < D_5.$$

Proof of Claim 1: It's easy to check that, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$r_{n+1} = \min_{z \in \gamma} |f^{n+1}(z)| = \min_{z \in \gamma_{n-1}} |f^2(z)| \leq M(r_{n-1}^K, f^2).$$

Combining this, $\lambda(f^2) < \infty$ and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} &\leq \frac{\log \log(r_{n+1}^K + 30)}{\log(r_{n-1} + 3)} \\ &\leq K \frac{\log \log r_{n+1}}{\log r_{n-1}} \\ &\leq K^2 \frac{\log \log M(r_{n-1}^K, f^2)}{\log r_{n-1}^K} \\ &\leq K^2 (\lambda(f^2) + 1) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n . Now Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2: There exists a positive number D_6 so that

$$\sup_E \frac{\sup_{z \in E} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in E} \log(|w| + 3)} \leq D_6,$$

where $\sup_E$ is taken over all components in Class 2.

Proof of Claim 2: Let E be such a component so that E lies between the outer boundaries of U_n and U_{n+1} for some $n \geq n_0$. Then it is easy to check that

$$r_n \leq |z| \leq r_{n+1}^K \text{ for } z \in E$$

and that

$$r_{n+1} = \min_{z \in \gamma} |f^{n+1}(z)| = \min_{z \in \gamma_n} |f(z)| \leq M(r_n^K, f). \quad (7)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sup_{z \in E} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in E} \log(|w| + 3)} &\leq \frac{\log \log(r_{n+1}^K + 30)}{\log(r_n + 3)} \\ &\leq K \frac{\log \log r_{n+1}}{\log r_n} \\ &\leq K^2 \frac{\log \log M(r_n^K, f)}{\log r_n^K} \\ &\leq K^2(\lambda(f) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n . Now Claim 2 and furthermore Case 1 follow.

Case 2: Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are two different orbits of multiply connected Fatou components of $F(f)$. We show that $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $U_n(n \geq 0) \in \mathcal{X}$ and that $U'_n(n \geq 0) \in \mathcal{Y}$ is another sequence of multiply connected components with $f^n(U'_0) \subset U'_n$. We also assume that $\gamma'_n = f^n(\gamma')(n \geq 1)$ and $r'_n(n \geq 1)$ are defined in the same way as γ , $\gamma_n = f^n(\gamma)(n \geq 1)$ and $r_n(n \geq 1)$, respectively.

Now, fix a sufficiently large $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then all the Fatou components of $F(f)$ can be put in the following three classes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U \subset \text{int}(\gamma_{n_0})\}; \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U = U_n \text{ for some } n \geq n_0\}; \\ \mathcal{C} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U \subset \text{int}(\gamma_n) \text{ and } U \subset \text{out}(\gamma_{n-1}) \text{ for some } n > n_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 1: It is trivial to see that there exists a positive constant C_3 so that

$$\frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)} \leq C_3$$

for any $U \in \mathcal{A}$.

Subcase 2: For any given $U_n \in \mathcal{B}$, it follows from the fact, $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are different great orbits, that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$U_n \subset (r'_m, r'_{m+1}{}^K).$$

Clearly, $m \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$r'_{m+1} = \min_{z \in \gamma'_m} |f^{m+1}(z)| = \min_{z \in \gamma'_m} |f(z)| \leq M(r'_m, f),$$

we have for large n

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} &\leq \frac{\log \log(r'_{m+1}^K + 30)}{\log(r'_m + 3)} \\
&\leq K^2 \cdot \frac{\log \log M(r_m^K, f)}{\log r_m'^K} \\
&\leq K^2(\lambda(f) + 1) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 3: For any $U_n \in \mathcal{C}$, similarly, for large n , we have by (7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sup_{z \in U_n} \log \log(|z| + 30)}{\inf_{w \in U_n} \log(|w| + 3)} &\leq \frac{\log \log(r_n^K + 30)}{\log(r_{n-1} + 3)} \\
&\leq K^2 \cdot \frac{\log \log M(r_{n-1}^K, f)}{\log r_{n-1}^K} \\
&\leq K^2(\lambda(f) + 1) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 follows.

Case 3: Let U be a multiply connected Fatou component of $F(f)$. In [27], Zheng proved that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large then there exists a round annulus $D_n = \{r_n < |z| < R_n\} \subset f^n(U)$ such that $\text{dist}(0; D_n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{mod}(D_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\sup_D \frac{\sup_{z \in D} (|z| + 1)}{\inf_{w \in D} (|w| + 1)} = \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Fatou components of $F(f)$. Thus Case 3 follows and therefore the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. ♠

4 The Case of $f \in \Delta$

Theorem 2: Suppose that $f(z) \in \Delta$ is a transcendental entire function and that there exist positive constants $M_0, C_1 > 1, C_2 > 1$ such that

$$[M_0, \infty) \subset \{r > 0 : \log M(C_1 r, f) \geq C_2 \log M(r, f)\}, \quad (8)$$

then $F(f)$ is strongly uniformly bounded.

Theorem 3: Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function with $\lambda(f) < 1/2$. If there exist positive constants $M_1, D_1 > 1$ and $D_2 > 1$ with $D_1 > D_2^2$ such that

$$[M_1, \infty) \subset \{r > 0 : \log M(D_1 r, f) < D_2 \log M(r, f)\},$$

then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

Theorem 4: Suppose that $f(z) \in \Delta$ is a transcendental entire function. If $0 < \rho(f) \leq \lambda(f) < \infty$, then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

It's natural to post the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1: If $f(z) \in \Delta$ and $F(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

Conjecture 2: If $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function and $F(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_0(f) = \infty$.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 2

First we recall some facts:

Fact 1 (see [27]): Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function. If there exist two constants $C_1 > 1$ and $C_2 > 1$ so that

$$\log M(C_1 r, f) \geq C_2 \log M(r, f)$$

for sufficiently large r , then $F(f)$ contains no multiply connected Fatou components.

Fact 2 (see [9]): Let U be a simply connected hyperbolic domain of $\mathbb{C}$, $\rho_U(z)$ the density of the hyperbolic metric on U and $\lambda(z_1, z_2)$ the hyperbolic distance between z_1 and z_2 on U . Then

$$\frac{1}{2d(z, \partial U)} \leq \rho_U(z) \leq \frac{2}{d(z, \partial U)},$$

where $d(z, \partial U)$ is the Euclidean distance between $z \in U$ and ∂U .

Claim 1: There exist two positive numbers $M_1(> M_0)$ and $h > 1$ so that, for all $r > M_1$, there exists $r' \in (r, r^h)$ satisfying

$$m(r', f) > M(r, f)^h. \quad (9)$$

Proof of Claim 1: We recall that f satisfies the following condition: there exist two positive constants ε_1 and ε_2 with $0 < \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 < 1$ such that

$$\overline{\log dens} E(f) \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad (10)$$

where

$$E(f) = \{r > 1 : \log m(r, f) \leq \varepsilon_2 \log M(r, f)\}. \quad (11)$$

Now we choose a positive number c with $\varepsilon_1 > c\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_1^2$.

Claim 1.1: There exist two positive numbers D and r_0 such that, for any $r \geq r_0$, there must be some number s with

$$s \in (r, r^{(1+\varepsilon_1/c)}) \text{ and } m(s, f) \geq M(r, f)^D.$$

Proof of Claim 1.1: We claim that there exists a positive number r_0 such that, if $R \geq r_0$, there is some value $r_R \in (R, R^{1+\varepsilon_1/c})$ so that

$$\log m(r_R, f) > \varepsilon_2 \log M(r_R, f).$$

Suppose this is not true. Then there exists a sequence $\{R_j\}$, $R_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(R_j, R_j^{1+\varepsilon_1/c}) \subset E(f).$$

Thus

$$\overline{\log dens} E(f) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon_1/c) \log R_j} \int_{R_j}^{R_j^{1+\varepsilon_1/c}} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 + c} > \varepsilon_1.$$

This obviously contradicts (10).

Then, for sufficiently large r , there exists s with $s \in (r, r^{(1+\varepsilon_1/c)})$ such that

$$\log m(s, f) > \varepsilon_2 \log M(s, f). \quad (12)$$

Now applying Hadamard's three-circles theorem to the three circles $|z| = 1, r, s$, we get that

$$\log M(s, f) \geq \log M(r, f) - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{c} \log M(1, f). \quad (13)$$

Clearly, when r is chosen sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{c} \log M(1, f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log M(r, f). \quad (14)$$

By combining (12), (13) and (14), we see that there exists a positive constant r_0 such that, when $r > r_0$, we have

$$\log m(s, f) \geq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \log M(r, f).$$

Therefore, we have proved Claim 1.1 with $D = \varepsilon_2/2$.

Claim 1.2: There exist two positive numbers $M_1(> M_0)$ and $h > 1$ so that, for all $r > M_1$, there exists $r' \in (r, r^h)$ satisfying

$$m(r', f) > M(r, f)^h.$$

Proof of Claim 1.2: From (8) one easily gets that

$$\log M(r_2, f) \geq C_2^{\frac{\log r_2 - \log r_1}{\log C_1} - 1} \log M(r_1, f) \quad (15)$$

where $r_2 > r_1 > M_0$.

Now let $\beta = 1 + \varepsilon_1/c$. From Claim 1.1, there exists $r' \in (r^{\beta^2}, r^{\beta^3})$ such that

$$m(r', f) > M(r^{\beta^2}, f)^D.$$

It is easy to check that there exists a positive number $r'_0(> r_0)$ such that, for any $r \geq r'_0$,

$$h := DC_2^{\frac{(\beta^2 - \beta) \log r}{\log C_1} - 1} > \beta^2(> 1).$$

Then by (15), if $r \geq r'_0$,

$$M(r^{\beta^2}, f) > M(r^\beta, f)^{h/D}.$$

The above two inequalities imply that

$$m(r', f) > M(r^\beta, f)^h$$

for some $r' \in (r^\beta, r^{\beta^3})$, where $r > r'_0$. Claim 1.2 follows, and therefore Claim 1 holds.

In order to prove the Theorem, we will show that there exists a positive D so that

$$\sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)} < D,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Fatou components of $F(f)$. To do this, we need to prove the following Claims 2 and 3.

Claim 2: There exists a positive number D_1 so that

$$\sup_U \frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\inf_{w \in U} \log(|w| + 3)} < D_1,$$

where the supremum is taken over all simply connected components of $F(f)$.

Proof of Claim 2: If Claim 2 does not hold, then there exists a simply connected component U and a point $z_0 \in U$ so that $|z_0| \geq M_1$ and

$$\frac{\sup_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)}{\log(|z_0| + 3)} > 2h. \quad (16)$$

Suppose that $U_n (n \geq 1)$ is a simply connected component so that $f^n(U) \subset U_n$. Then $U_n (n \geq 1)$ is also simply connected. Now by Claim 1 and the fact that $J(f) \neq \emptyset$, we can take $z_0, z_1 \in U$, $R'_0 > 0$, $R_0 > M_1$ and a Jordan curve $\gamma_0 \subset U$ so that

1. $z_0, z_1 \in \gamma_0$, $R'_0 \in (R_0, R_0^h)$, $|z_0| = R_0$ and $|z_1| = R'_0$;
2. $m(R'_0, f) > M(R_0, f)^h$;
- 3.

$$\{z : |z| < R_0\} \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset. \quad (17)$$

This is possible because of (16). It follows from (17) that

$$M(R_0, f^n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

First, it is trivial to check that

1. $|f(z_1)| \geq m(R'_0, f) > M(R_0, f)^h$;
2. $|f(z_1)| \geq |f(z_0)|^h$.

In the following we will mainly use Zheng-Wang's idea (see [26]) to complete our argument.

Now put $R_1 = M(R_0, f)$, $\gamma_n = f^n(\gamma_0)$ for $n \geq 1$, $\Gamma_1 = \{z : |z| = R_1\}$ and $\Gamma_1^h = \{z : |z| = R_1^h\}$. Then by the above argument we know that $\gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_1^h \neq \emptyset$. Thus by Claim 1 there are a positive number R'_1 and a point $z_2 \in \gamma_0$ so that

$$R_1 < R'_1 < R_1^h, \quad f(z_2) \in \{z : |z| = R'_1\}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} |f^2(z_2)| &= |f(f(z_2))| \geq m(R'_1, f) \geq M(R_1, f)^h \\ &= M(M(R_0, f), f)^h \geq M(R_0, f^2)^h \\ &\geq |f^2(z_0)|^h. \end{aligned}$$

That is $|f^2(z_2)| \geq \max\{M(R_0, f^2)^h, |f^2(z_0)|^h\}$. Similarly, by putting $R_2 = M(R_1, f)$, $\Gamma_2 = \{z : |z| = R_2\}$ and $\Gamma_2^h = \{z : |z| = R_2^h\}$, we can choose a point $z_3 \in \gamma_0$ so that

$$|f^3(z_3)| \geq \max\{M(R_0, f^3)^h, |f^3(z_0)|^h\}.$$

Repeating the above process inductively, we can deduce that there is a point $z_n \in \gamma_0$ so that

$$|f^n(z_n)| \geq \max\{M(R_0, f^n)^h, |f^n(z_0)|^h\} \text{ for } n \geq 1. \quad (19)$$

Now, for any n , pick points $a_n \in \partial U_n$ so that $|a_n| \leq |f^n(z_0)|$, and we have by Fact 2,

$$\rho_{U_n}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2|z - a_n|} \geq \frac{1}{2(|z| + |a_n|)}.$$

Then

$$\rho_{U_n}(f^n(z_0), f^n(z_n)) \geq \int_{|f^n(z_0)|}^{|f^n(z_n)|} \frac{dr}{2(r + |a_n|)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{|f^n(z_n)| + |a_n|}{|f^n(z_0)| + |a_n|}. \quad (20)$$

It is obvious that

$$\rho_{U_n}(f^n(z_0), f^n(z_n)) \leq \rho_U(z_0, z_n) \leq \lambda(\gamma_0) < +\infty. \quad (21)$$

Here $\lambda(\gamma_0)$ is the hyperbolic length of γ_0 in U .

Combining (19), (20) and (21), we get that

$$2|f^n(z_0)|e^{2\lambda(\gamma_0)} \geq (|f^n(z_0)| + |a_n|)e^{2\lambda(\gamma_0)} \geq |f^n(z_n)| + |a_n| \geq |f^n(z_0)|^h. \quad (22)$$

Since U is a simply connected component, by (18) and (19) we have that $|f^n(z_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $|f^n(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $z \in U$, and it means that $|f^n(z_0)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts to (22) with $h > 1$. Therefore Claim 2 follows.

Claim 3: There exist no multiply connected components in the Fatou set $F(f)$.

Proof of Claim 3: This follows from Fact 1.

Theorem 2 now follows from Claims 2 and 3. ♠

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 1. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function, and that there exist sequences $\{R_n\}$, $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{c(n)\}$ with $c(n) > 1$ ($n \geq 1$) and $a = \sup\{c(n)\} < \infty$ so that:

1. $M(R_n, f) = R_{n+1}$;
2. $R_n < t_n < R_n^{c(n)}$;
3. $m(t_n, f) > R_{n+1}^{c(n+1)}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Then there is no Fatou component U so that

$$U \cap \{z : |z| = R_n\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } U \cap \{z : |z| = R_{n+1}^b\} \neq \emptyset$$

for some $n \geq 1$ and any $b > a$.

Proof of Lemma 1: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $J(f) \cap \{z : |z| < R_1\} \neq \emptyset$. Then $M(R_1, f^n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This Lemma is similar to a result of Baker, see [12] or [4]. Set

$$C_n = \{z : |z| = R_n\}, C_n^1 = \{z : |z| = R_n^{c(n)}\} \text{ and } C_n^2 = \{z : |z| = t_n\}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Assume that there exists a Fatou component U so that $U \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap C_2^1 \neq \emptyset$. We need to deduce a contradiction.

It is trivial to see that U contains a path Γ joining points $w_1 \in C_1$ and $w_2^1 \in C_2^1$, and obviously Γ contains a point $w_2^2 \in C_2^2$. Then $|f(w_1)| \leq R_2$ and $|f(w_2^2)| > R_3^{c(3)}$ from the conditions of this Lemma. Hence $f(\Gamma)$ must contain an arc joining a point $w_2 \in C_2$ to a point $w_3^1 \in C_3^1$. This process can be repeated, and $f^k(U)$ contains an arc of $f^k(\Gamma)$ which joins a point $w_{k+1} \in C_{k+1}$ to a point $w_{k+2}^1 \in C_{k+2}^1$. Thus, on Γ , the function f^k takes a value of modulus at least $R_{k+1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we conclude that $f^k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ locally uniformly on U . It follows that there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $k \geq n_1$, we have $|f^k(z)| > 1$ for all $z \in \Gamma$. Now by a result of [8], there exists a positive constant B such that $|f^k(w)| \leq |f^k(z)|^B$ for all $w, z \in \Gamma$ and for all $k \geq n_1$. Now for any $k \geq n_1$, we pick points $z_k, z_k^1 \in \Gamma$ such that $f^k(z_k) = w_{k+1}$ and $f^k(z_k^1) = w_{k+2}^1$. Thus, for each $k > n_1$, we have

$$M(R_{k+1}, f) = R_{k+2} < R_{k+2}^{c(k+2)} = |f^k(z_k^1)| < |f^k(z_k)|^B = R_{k+1}^B.$$

This contradicts the fact that f is a transcendental entire function. ♠

Proof of Theorem 3: Since $f(z)$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem, by a result of Hua and Yang (see [13], Lemma 2), for any given sufficiently large R_1 ($> \min_{z \in J(f)} |z|$) there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ so that, for $n \geq 1$,

$$M(R_n, f) = R_{n+1}; \tag{23}$$

$$R_n < R_n^{2+\frac{2}{2n+1}} < t_n < R_n^{2+\frac{1}{n}}; \tag{24}$$

$$\log m(t_n, f) > \left(1 - \frac{2}{(2n+1)^3}\right) \log M(R_n^{2+\frac{2}{2n+1}}, f). \tag{25}$$

Now applying Hadamard's three-circles theorem to $|z| = 1$, R_n and $R_n^{2+\frac{2}{2n+1}}$, we get

$$\log M(R_n f) \leq \log M(1, f) + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{2}{2n+1}} \log M(R_n^{2+\frac{2}{2n+1}}, f).$$

This implies the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3}\right] \log M(R_n^{2+\frac{2}{2n+1}}, f) \\
> & \left[2 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right] \log M(R_n, f) \\
& + \left[\left(2 + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3}\right) - \left(2 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right] \log M(R_n, f) \\
& - \left(2 + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3}\right) \log M(1, f) \\
= & \left[2 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right] \log M(R_n, f) + \frac{8n^3 + 8n^2 - 2n - 3}{(2n+1)^4(n+1)} \log M(R_n, f) \\
& - \left(2 + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3}\right) \log M(1, f). \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Since f is transcendental, we may suppose that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{8n^3 + 8n^2 - 2n - 3}{(2n+1)^4(n+1)} \log M(R_n, f) > \left(2 + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3}\right) \log M(1, f). \tag{27}$$

It's easy to see that (25), (26) and (27) give the following result,

$$m(t_n, f) > R_{n+1}^{2+\frac{1}{n+1}}. \tag{28}$$

We fix R_1 , and set

$$\Delta_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R_2^3\} \text{ and } \Delta_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq R_1\}.$$

Then all the Fatou components can be put into the following three classes (there could be a component in both of the first two classes):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U \subset \Delta_1\}, \\
\mathcal{B} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U \subset \Delta_2\}, \\
\mathcal{C} &= \{U \subset F(f) : U \cap \partial(\Delta_1) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } U \cap \partial(\Delta_2) \neq \emptyset\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and the above arguments, we easily see that $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. To prove that $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded, we only need to consider the Fatou component in $\mathcal{B}$.

Let U' be any Fatou component of $F(f)$. If $U' \cap \{w : |w| = M(|z|, f)\} = \emptyset$ for any $z \in U'$, then we put $\Theta_2(U') = 1$. Otherwise, set

$$\Theta_2(U') = \sup\{t \geq 1 : U' \cap \{w : |w| = M(|z|, f)^t, \text{ where } z \in U'\} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Set

$$\Theta_2 = \sup_{U' \in \mathcal{B}} \Theta_2(U').$$

In the following, we show that $\Theta_2 \leq 3$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $U_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\Theta_2(U_0) > 3$. Then we can choose a point $z_1 \in U_0$ so that

$$U_0 \cap \{z : |z| = T_2^3\} \neq \emptyset$$

where $T_2 = M(T_1, f)$ and $T_1 = |z_1|$.

By (23), (24) and (28), from this T_1 , we can get two sequences $\{T_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ such that

1. $M(T_n, f) = T_{n+1}$;
2. $T_n < t_n < T_n^{2+\frac{1}{n}}$;
3. $m(t_n, f) > T_{n+1}^{2+\frac{1}{n+1}}$

for $n \geq 1$. This obviously contradicts Lemma 1. So $\Theta_2(U_0) \leq 3$. Thus

$$\sup_{U' \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\sup_{w \in U'} \log \log(|w| + 30)}{\inf_{z \in U'} \log(|z| + 3)} \leq 2\lambda(f) < \infty.$$

It's easy to see that there exists a number $M > 0$ so that

$$\sup_{U \subset F(f)} \frac{\sup_{w \in U} \log \log(|w| + 30)}{\inf_{z \in U} \log(|z| + 3)} \leq M.$$

Theorem 3 follows. ♠

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4

Claim 1: There exist two positive numbers D and r_0 such that, for any $r \geq r_0$, there must be some number s with

$$s \in (r, r^D) \text{ and } m(s, f) \geq M(r, f)^D.$$

Proof of Claim 1: This follows from the Claim 1 of Theorem 2.

Claim 2: Now take two positive constants A and B with $A > \lambda(f)/\rho(f)$ and $B > 1$. For any sufficiently large R_1 , there exist a sequence $\{R_n\}$, $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$M(R_n^A, f) > M(R_n, f)^B \tag{29}$$

for sufficiently large n .

Proof of Claim 2: Choose any $R > \min_{z \in J(f)} |z|$. Since $J(f) \neq \emptyset$ for any transcendental entire function f , we have $0 < R < \infty$. Since $J(f) \cap \{z : |z| < R\} \neq \emptyset$, $f^n(\{z : |z| < R\})$ must contain any given compact set of $\mathbb{C}$ for sufficiently large n as long as the compact set doesn't meet an exceptional point. So if we set $R_1 = M(R, f)$, $R_{n+1} = M(R_n, f)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), then $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that this sequence is what we want.

Suppose on the contrary that (29) does not hold. Then there must be a subsequence $\{R_{n_j}\} \subset \{R_n\}$ such that

$$M(R_{n_j}^A, f) \leq M(R_{n_j}, f)^B$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Then

$$A \cdot \frac{\log \log M(R_{n_j}^A, f)}{\log R_{n_j}^A} \leq \frac{\log B + \log \log M(R_{n_j}, f)}{\log R_{n_j}}.$$

Thus

$$A\rho(f) \leq \lambda(f),$$

which contradicts the choice of A .

Claim 3: $F(f)$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof of Claim 3: Take any sufficiently large $R (> \min_{z \in J(f)} |z|)$. Now choose a sequence $\{R_n\}$:

$$R_1 = M(R, f), R_{n+1} = M(R_n, f), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, R_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{30}$$

Put $L = D$, and modify A and B so that $A > \frac{\lambda(f)}{\rho(f)}$ and $DB > AL > 1$. By Claim 1, for sufficiently large n , there exists t_n such that

$$R_n^A \leq t_n \leq R_n^{AL} \text{ and } m(t_n, f) > M(R_n^A, f)^D. \quad (31)$$

From Claim 2 we see that when n is sufficiently large

$$M(R_n^A, f) > M(R_n, f)^B.$$

This and (31) yield that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$m(t_n, f) > M(R_n, f)^{DB} \geq R_{n+1}^{AL}. \quad (32)$$

By combining (31), (32), Lemma 1 and the proof of theorem 3, we have proved Theorem 4. ♠

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Xinhua Hua, Chung-Chun Yang and Jian-Hua Zheng for their helpful conversations on the subject of this paper. Also we want to thank the referee for his/her carefully reading over the manuscript, with helpful suggestions and remarks.

References

- [1] Anderson, J.M. and Hinkkanen, A.: Unbounded domains of normality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**(1998), no.4, 3243-3252.
- [2] Baker, I.N.: Multiply connected domains of normality in iteration theory, *Math. Z.* **81**(1963), 206-214.
- [3] Baker, I.N.: Multiply connected domains of normality in iteration theory, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.* **1**(1975), 277-283.
- [4] Baker, I.N.: The iteration of polynomials and transcendental entire functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser.A* **30**(1981), 483-495.
- [5] Baker, I.N.: Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **49**(1984), 563-576.
- [6] Baker, I.N.: Some entire functions with multiply connected wandering domains, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **5**(1985), 163-169.
- [7] Barry, P.D.: On the theorem of Besicovitch, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **14**(1963), 292-302.
- [8] Bergweiler, W.: Iteration of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29**(1993), 151-188.
- [9] Carleson, L. and Gamelin, T.W.: *Complex dynamics*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1993.
- [10] Fatou, P.: Sur l'itération des fonctions transcendentes entières, *Acta Math.* **47**(1926), 337-370.
- [11] Fuchs, W.H.J.: Proof of a conjecture of Polya concerning gap series, *Illinois J. Math.* **7**(1966), 661-667.
- [12] Hua, X.H. and Yang, C.C.: *Dynamics of transcendental functions*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1998.
- [13] Hua, X.H. and Yang, C.C.: Fatou components of entire functions of small growth, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **19**(1999), 1281-1293.
- [14] Julia, G.: Mémoire sur l' iteration des fractions rationnelles, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **1**(1918), 47-245.
- [15] Kisaka, M. and Shishikura, M.: On multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions, to appear.

- [16] Morosawa, S. Nishimura, Y., Taniguchi, M. and Ueda, T.: *Holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [17] Rempe, L.: Siegel Disks and Periodic Rays of Entire Functions, *preprint*.
- [18] Stallard, G.M.: The iteration of entire functions of small growth, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **114**(1993), 43-55.
- [19] Wang, X.L. and Yang, C.C.: On the wandering and Baker domains of transcendental entire functions, *Intern. J. Bifur. Chaos.* **14**(2004), no.1, 321-327.
- [20] Wang, Y.F.: Bounded domains of the Fatou set of an entire function, *Israel J. Math.* **121**(2001), 55-60.
- [21] Wang, Y.F.: On the Fatou set of an entire function with gaps, *Tohoku Math. J.* **53**(2001), 163-170.
- [22] Zheng, J.H.: Singularities and wandering domains in iteration of meromorphic functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **44**(2000), 520-530.
- [23] Zheng, J.H.: On the non-existence of unbounded domains of normality of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **264**(2001), 479-494.
- [24] Zheng, J.H.: On uniformly perfect boundary of stable domains in iteration of meromorphic functions II, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **132**(2002), 531-544.
- [25] Zheng, J.H.: Singularities and limit functions in iteration of meromorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **67**(2003), 1-13.
- [26] Zheng, J.H. and Wang, S.: Boundedness of components of Fatou sets of entire and meromorphic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **35**(2004), no. 10, 1137-1148.
- [27] Zheng, J.H.: On multiply connected Fatou components in iteration of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **313**(2006), no. 1, 24-37.

Xiaoling WANG
 Department of Applied Mathematics
 Nanjing University of Finance and Economics
 Nanjing 210046, Jiangsu, China
 Email: wangxiaoling@vip.163.com

Wang ZHOU
 Department of Statistics and Applied Probability
 National University of Singapore
 Singapore, 117546
 Email: stazw@nus.edu.sg